# Graded column removal for homomorphisms between Specht modules

Liron Speyer

Queen Mary University of London

l.speyer@qmul.ac.uk

Joint work with Matthew Fayers.

Liron Speyer (QMUL)

#### Definition

For  $\lambda \vdash n$  and any  $c \ge 0$ , define  $\lambda_L(c)$  and  $\lambda_R(c)$  to be the partitions on the left and right of a vertical cut after column c of  $[\lambda]$ .

#### Definition

For  $\lambda \vdash n$  and any  $c \ge 0$ , define  $\lambda_L(c)$  and  $\lambda_R(c)$  to be the partitions on the left and right of a vertical cut after column c of  $[\lambda]$ .

Let  $\lambda, \mu \vdash n$ , and suppose for some  $c \ge 0 |\lambda_L(c)| = |\mu_L(c)|$ . Then:

#### Definition

For  $\lambda \vdash n$  and any  $c \ge 0$ , define  $\lambda_L(c)$  and  $\lambda_R(c)$  to be the partitions on the left and right of a vertical cut after column c of  $[\lambda]$ .

Let  $\lambda, \mu \vdash n$ , and suppose for some  $c \ge 0 |\lambda_L(c)| = |\mu_L(c)|$ . Then:

#### Theorem (Fayers–Lyle '03, Lyle–Mathas '05)

Suppose  $e \neq 2$ . Then Hom<sub>*R<sub>n</sub>*( $S_{\lambda}, S_{\mu}$ )  $\cong$  Hom<sub>*R<sub>L</sub>*( $S_{\lambda_L}, S_{\mu_L}$ )  $\otimes$  Hom<sub>*R<sub>R</sub>*( $S_{\lambda_R}, S_{\mu_R}$ ) as  $\mathbb{F}$ -vector spaces, where *R<sub>n</sub>*, *R<sub>L</sub>*, *R<sub>R</sub>* are Hecke algebras of type A of the appropriate degrees.</sub></sub></sub>

Example:







Example: Let  $\lambda = (4, 3, 2, 1)$  and  $\mu = (4, 3, 1, 1, 1)$ .



 $Hom_{R_{10}}(S_{\lambda},S_{\mu}) = Hom_{R_{7}}(S_{(2^{3},1)},S_{(2^{2},1^{3})}) \otimes Hom_{R_{3}}(S_{(2,1)},S_{(2,1)}).$ 

**F** a field of characteristic *p*, *e* ∈ {0,2,3,4,...} and define  $I = \mathbb{Z}/e\mathbb{Z}$ . The *affine Khovanov–Lauda–Rouquier algebra* or *quiver Hecke algebra*  $R_n$  is the unital associative **F**-algebra with generating set

$$\left\{ \boldsymbol{e}(i) \mid i \in I^n \right\} \cup \left\{ y_1, \ldots, y_n \right\} \cup \left\{ \psi_1, \ldots, \psi_{n-1} \right\}$$

**F** a field of characteristic *p*, *e* ∈ {0,2,3,4,...} and define  $I = \mathbb{Z}/e\mathbb{Z}$ . The *affine Khovanov–Lauda–Rouquier algebra* or *quiver Hecke algebra*  $R_n$  is the unital associative **F**-algebra with generating set

$$\left\{\boldsymbol{e}(i) \mid i \in I^n\right\} \cup \left\{\boldsymbol{y}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{y}_n\right\} \cup \left\{\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_{n-1}\right\}$$

and relations

$$e(i)e(j) = \delta_{i,j}e(i);$$
  

$$\sum_{i \in l^n} e(i) = 1; *$$
  

$$y_r e(i) = e(i)y_r;$$
  

$$\psi_r e(i) = e(s_r i)\psi_r;$$

**F** a field of characteristic *p*, *e* ∈ {0,2,3,4,...} and define  $I = \mathbb{Z}/e\mathbb{Z}$ . The *affine Khovanov–Lauda–Rouquier algebra* or *quiver Hecke algebra*  $R_n$  is the unital associative **F**-algebra with generating set

$$\left\{\boldsymbol{e}(i) \mid i \in I^n\right\} \cup \left\{\boldsymbol{y}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{y}_n\right\} \cup \left\{\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_{n-1}\right\}$$

and relations

 $e(i)e(j) = \delta_{i,j}e(i);$   $\sum_{i \in I^n} e(i) = 1; *$   $y_r e(i) = e(i)y_r;$   $\psi_r e(i) = e(s_r i)\psi_r;$   $y_r y_s = y_s y_r;$   $\psi_r y_s = y_s \psi_r$   $\psi_r \psi_s = \psi_s \psi_r$ 

if  $s \neq r, r + 1$ ; if |r - s| > 1;

$$y_r\psi_r \boldsymbol{e}(i) = (\psi_r y_{r+1} - \delta_{i_r,i_{r+1}})\boldsymbol{e}(i);$$
  
$$y_{r+1}\psi_r \boldsymbol{e}(i) = (\psi_r y_r + \delta_{i_r,i_{r+1}})\boldsymbol{e}(i);$$

$$\begin{split} y_{r}\psi_{r}e(i) &= (\psi_{r}y_{r+1} - \delta_{i_{r},i_{r+1}})e(i);\\ y_{r+1}\psi_{r}e(i) &= (\psi_{r}y_{r} + \delta_{i_{r},i_{r+1}})e(i);\\ \psi_{r}^{2}e(i) &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i_{r} = i_{r+1},\\ e(i) & \text{if } i_{r+1} \neq i_{r},i_{r} \pm 1,\\ (y_{r+1} - y_{r})e(i) & \text{if } i_{r} \rightarrow i_{r+1},\\ (y_{r+1} - y_{r})(y_{r} - y_{r+1})e(i) & \text{if } i_{r} \leftarrow i_{r+1},\\ (\psi_{r+1}\psi_{r}\psi_{r+1} + 1)e(i) & \text{if } i_{r+2} = i_{r} \rightarrow i_{r+1},\\ (\psi_{r+1}\psi_{r}\psi_{r+1} + y_{r} - 2y_{r+1} + y_{r+2})e(i) & \text{if } i_{r+2} = i_{r} \rightleftarrows i_{r+1},\\ (\psi_{r+1}\psi_{r}\psi_{r+1})e(i) & \text{otherwise}; \end{split}$$

for all admissible *r*, *s*, *i*, *j*.

For any "e-multicharge"  $\kappa = (\kappa_1, \ldots, \kappa_l) \in l^l$ , we define the *cyclotomic KLR algebra*  $R_n^{\kappa}$  to be a quotient of  $R_n$  by some extra relations involving generators  $y_1$  and e(i).

For any "e-multicharge"  $\kappa = (\kappa_1, \ldots, \kappa_l) \in l^l$ , we define the *cyclotomic KLR algebra*  $R_n^{\kappa}$  to be a quotient of  $R_n$  by some extra relations involving generators  $y_1$  and e(i).

Theorem (Brundan–Kleshchev, '09)

For any "e-multicharge"  $\kappa = (\kappa_1, \ldots, \kappa_l) \in l^l$ , we define the *cyclotomic KLR algebra*  $R_n^{\kappa}$  to be a quotient of  $R_n$  by some extra relations involving generators  $y_1$  and e(i).

Theorem (Brundan–Kleshchev, '09)

If p = e or  $p \nmid e$ ,  $R_n^{\kappa}$  is isomorphic to an Ariki–Koike algebra of level I.

For any "e-multicharge"  $\kappa = (\kappa_1, \ldots, \kappa_l) \in l^l$ , we define the *cyclotomic KLR algebra*  $R_n^{\kappa}$  to be a quotient of  $R_n$  by some extra relations involving generators  $y_1$  and e(i).

Theorem (Brundan-Kleshchev, '09)

If p = e or  $p \nmid e$ ,  $R_n^{\kappa}$  is isomorphic to an Ariki–Koike algebra of level I.

#### Corollary

Cyclotomic Hecke algebras can be non-trivially  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded.

Liron Speyer (QMUL)

For each *I*-multipartition  $\lambda$ , we can define a Specht module  $S_{\lambda}$  for  $R_n$  by generators and relations. These Specht modules factor through the natural surjection  $R_n \rightarrow R_n^{\kappa}$ .

For each *I*-multipartition  $\lambda$ , we can define a Specht module  $S_{\lambda}$  for  $R_n$  by generators and relations. These Specht modules factor through the natural surjection  $R_n \rightarrow R_n^{\kappa}$ .

As an  $R_n$ -module,  $S_\lambda$  is cyclic, generated by  $z_\lambda$ .

For each *I*-multipartition  $\lambda$ , we can define a Specht module  $S_{\lambda}$  for  $R_n$  by generators and relations. These Specht modules factor through the natural surjection  $R_n \twoheadrightarrow R_n^{\kappa}$ . As an  $R_n$ -module,  $S_{\lambda}$  is cyclic, generated by  $z_{\lambda}$ .

For each *I*-multipartition  $\lambda$ , we can define a Specht module  $S_{\lambda}$  for  $R_n$  by generators and relations. These Specht modules factor through the natural surjection  $R_n \twoheadrightarrow R_n^{\kappa}$ . As an  $R_n$ -module,  $S_{\lambda}$  is cyclic, generated by  $z_{\lambda}$ .

• 
$$e(i)z_{\lambda} = \delta_{i_{\lambda},i}z_{\lambda};$$

For each *I*-multipartition  $\lambda$ , we can define a Specht module  $S_{\lambda}$  for  $R_n$  by generators and relations. These Specht modules factor through the natural surjection  $R_n \rightarrow R_n^{\kappa}$ . As an  $R_n$ -module,  $S_{\lambda}$  is cyclic, generated by  $z_{\lambda}$ .

• 
$$e(i)z_{\lambda} = \delta_{i_{\lambda},i}z_{\lambda};$$

• 
$$y_r z_{\lambda} = 0$$
 for all  $r = 1, 2, ..., n$ ;

For each *I*-multipartition  $\lambda$ , we can define a Specht module  $S_{\lambda}$  for  $R_n$  by generators and relations. These Specht modules factor through the natural surjection  $R_n \twoheadrightarrow R_n^{\kappa}$ . As an  $R_n$ -module,  $S_{\lambda}$  is cyclic, generated by  $z_{\lambda}$ .

#### Relations for $S_{\lambda}$

• 
$$e(i)z_{\lambda} = \delta_{i_{\lambda},i}z_{\lambda};$$

• 
$$y_r z_{\lambda} = 0$$
 for all  $r = 1, 2, ..., n$ ;

•  $\psi_r z_{\lambda} = 0$  whenever r + 1 lies below r in the initial tableau;

For each *I*-multipartition  $\lambda$ , we can define a Specht module  $S_{\lambda}$  for  $R_n$  by generators and relations. These Specht modules factor through the natural surjection  $R_n \rightarrow R_n^{\kappa}$ . As an  $R_n$ -module,  $S_{\lambda}$  is cyclic, generated by  $z_{\lambda}$ .

• 
$$e(i)z_{\lambda} = \delta_{i_{\lambda},i}z_{\lambda};$$

- $y_r z_{\lambda} = 0$  for all r = 1, 2, ..., n;
- $\psi_r z_{\lambda} = 0$  whenever r + 1 lies below r in the initial tableau;
- \*some Garnir relations involving  $\psi$  generators\*.

Liron Speyer (QMUL)

## Theorem (Brundan–Kleshchev–Wang, '11, Kleshchev–Mathas–Ram, '12)

The  $R_n$ -module  $S_\lambda$  has a homogeneous basis indexed by the set of standard  $\lambda$ -tableaux.

## Theorem (Brundan–Kleshchev–Wang, '11, Kleshchev–Mathas–Ram, '12)

The  $R_n$ -module  $S_\lambda$  has a homogeneous basis indexed by the set of standard  $\lambda$ -tableaux.

This homogeneous basis can be given as  $\{\psi_T z_\lambda \mid T \text{ is a standard } \lambda\text{-tableau}\}.$ 

## Theorem (Brundan–Kleshchev–Wang, '11, Kleshchev–Mathas–Ram, '12)

The  $R_n$ -module  $S_\lambda$  has a homogeneous basis indexed by the set of standard  $\lambda$ -tableaux.

This homogeneous basis can be given as  $\{\psi_T z_\lambda \mid T \text{ is a standard } \lambda\text{-tableau}\}.$ 

Example: Take  $\lambda = (3, 1)$ .  $S_{\lambda}$  has a basis indexed by the three standard  $\lambda$ -tableaux 1 3 4, 1 2 4 and 1 2 3.

#### Theorem (Brundan–Kleshchev–Wang, '11, Kleshchev–Mathas–Ram, '12)

The  $R_n$ -module  $S_\lambda$  has a homogeneous basis indexed by the set of standard  $\lambda$ -tableaux.

This homogeneous basis can be given as  $\{\psi_T z_\lambda \mid T \text{ is a standard } \lambda\text{-tableau}\}.$ 

Example: Take  $\lambda = (3, 1)$ .  $S_{\lambda}$  has a basis indexed by the three standard  $\lambda$ -tableaux  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 4 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ . The  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$  corresponding elements of the homogeneous basis are given by  $z_{\lambda}$ ,  $\psi_2 z_{\lambda}$  and  $\psi_3 \psi_2 z_{\lambda}$  respectively.

#### **Dominated tableaux**

Liron Speyer (QMUL)
Let  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$  be *I*-multipartitions of *n*. We display Young diagrams for multipartitions by placing components from top right to bottom left.

Let  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$  be *I*-multipartitions of *n*. We display Young diagrams for multipartitions by placing components from top right to bottom left. Example:

Let  $\lambda, \mu$  be *I*-multipartitions of *n*. We display Young diagrams for multipartitions by placing components from top right to bottom left. Example:  $\lambda = ((3, 2), (1, 1)).$ 

Let  $\lambda, \mu$  be *I*-multipartitions of *n*. We display Young diagrams for multipartitions by placing components from top right to bottom left. Example:  $\lambda = ((3, 2), (1, 1))$ . Then  $[\lambda] = \Box \Box \Box$ .

Let  $\lambda, \mu$  be *I*-multipartitions of *n*. We display Young diagrams for multipartitions by placing components from top right to bottom left. Example:  $\lambda = ((3,2), (1,1))$ .Then  $[\lambda] = \Box \Box \Box$ .

### Definition

A  $\mu$ -tableau T is  $\lambda$ -dominated if every entry of T appears at least as far left as it does in  $T_{\lambda}$ .

Let  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$  be *I*-multipartitions of *n*. We display Young diagrams for multipartitions by placing components from top right to bottom left. Example:  $\lambda = ((3, 2), (1, 1))$ .Then  $[\lambda] = \Box \Box \Box$ .

### Definition

A  $\mu$ -tableau T is  $\lambda$ -dominated if every entry of T appears at least as far left as it does in  $T_{\lambda}$ .

Example:

Let  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$  be *l*-multipartitions of *n*. We display Young diagrams for multipartitions by placing components from top right to bottom left. Example:  $\lambda = ((3, 2), (1, 1))$ .Then  $[\lambda] = \Box \Box$ .

### Definition

A  $\mu$ -tableau T is  $\lambda$ -dominated if every entry of T appears at least as far left as it does in  $T_{\lambda}$ .

Example:  $\lambda$  as above,  $\mu = ((2, 2), (2, 1))$ .

Let  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$  be *I*-multipartitions of *n*. We display Young diagrams for multipartitions by placing components from top right to bottom left. Example:  $\lambda = ((3, 2), (1, 1))$ .Then  $[\lambda] = \Box \Box$ .

### Definition

A  $\mu$ -tableau T is  $\lambda$ -dominated if every entry of T appears at least as far left as it does in  $T_{\lambda}$ .

Example:  $\lambda$  as above,  $\mu = ((2, 2), (2, 1))$ .

$$T_{\lambda} = \frac{ \begin{array}{c} 3 & 5 & 7 \\ 4 & 6 \end{array} }{ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \end{array} }.$$

Let  $\lambda, \mu$  be *I*-multipartitions of *n*. We display Young diagrams for multipartitions by placing components from top right to bottom left. Example:  $\lambda = ((3, 2), (1, 1))$ . Then  $[\lambda] = \Box \Box \Box$ .

#### Definition

A  $\mu$ -tableau T is  $\lambda$ -dominated if every entry of T appears at least as far left as it does in  $T_{\lambda}$ .

Example: 
$$\lambda$$
 as above,  $\mu = ((2, 2), (2, 1))$   
 $T_{\lambda} =$ 

$$\begin{array}{c} 3 & 5 & 7 \\ 4 & 6 \\ \hline 1 \\ 2 \end{array}$$
. Let  $T =$ 

$$\begin{array}{c} 3 & 5 \\ 6 & 7 \\ \hline 1 \\ 2 \end{array}$$

Let  $\lambda, \mu$  be *I*-multipartitions of *n*. We display Young diagrams for multipartitions by placing components from top right to bottom left. Example:  $\lambda = ((3,2), (1,1))$ .Then  $[\lambda] = \Box \Box \Box$ .

### Definition

A  $\mu$ -tableau T is  $\lambda$ -dominated if every entry of T appears at least as far left as it does in  $T_{\lambda}$ .

Example: 
$$\lambda$$
 as above,  $\mu = ((2, 2), (2, 1)).$   

$$T_{\lambda} = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} 3 & 5 & 7 \\ 4 & 6 \end{vmatrix}}{1 & 2}. \text{ Let } T = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} 3 & 5 \\ 6 & 7 \\ 1 & 4 \end{vmatrix}}{1 & 4 & 3 & 3 & 5 \\ \hline 6 & 7 & 7 & 7 \\ \hline 1 & 4 & 2 & 3 & 5 \\ \hline 1 & 7 & 7 & 7 \\ \hline 2 & & & & \\ \hline 1 & 7 & 7 & 7 \\ \hline 2 & & & & \\ \hline 1 & 7 & 7 & 7 \\ \hline 1 & 7 & 7 \\ \hline 1$$

Let  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$  be *l*-multipartitions of *n*. We display Young diagrams for multipartitions by placing components from top right to bottom left. Example:  $\lambda = ((3, 2), (1, 1))$ .Then  $[\lambda] = \Box \Box$ .

### Definition

A  $\mu$ -tableau T is  $\lambda$ -dominated if every entry of T appears at least as far left as it does in  $T_{\lambda}$ .

Example: 
$$\lambda$$
 as above,  $\mu = ((2,2), (2,1)).$   
 $T_{\lambda} = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} 3 & 5 & 7 \\ 4 & 6 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$ . Let  $T = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} 3 & 5 \\ 6 & 7 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$  and  $S = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} 3 & 4 \\ 5 & 6 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$ .

Then T is  $\lambda$ -dominated,

Let  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$  be *I*-multipartitions of *n*. We display Young diagrams for multipartitions by placing components from top right to bottom left. Example:  $\lambda = ((3, 2), (1, 1))$ .Then  $[\lambda] = \Box \Box \Box$ .

### Definition

A  $\mu$ -tableau T is  $\lambda$ -dominated if every entry of T appears at least as far left as it does in  $T_{\lambda}$ .

Example: 
$$\lambda$$
 as above,  $\mu = ((2,2), (2,1)).$   
 $T_{\lambda} = \frac{\boxed{357}}{46}$ . Let  $T = \frac{\boxed{35}}{67}$  and  $S = \frac{\boxed{34}}{56}$ .

Then T is  $\lambda$ -dominated, but S is not.

Let  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$  be *I*-multipartitions of *n*. We display Young diagrams for multipartitions by placing components from top right to bottom left. Example:  $\lambda = ((3, 2), (1, 1))$ .Then  $[\lambda] = \Box \Box \Box$ .

### Definition

A  $\mu$ -tableau T is  $\lambda$ -dominated if every entry of T appears at least as far left as it does in  $T_{\lambda}$ .

Example: 
$$\lambda$$
 as above,  $\mu = ((2,2), (2,1)).$   
 $T_{\lambda} = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} 3 & 5 & 7 \\ 4 & 6 \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} 1 & 2 \end{vmatrix}}$ . Let  $T = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} 3 & 5 \\ 6 & 7 \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}}$  and  $S = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} 3 & 4 \\ 5 & 6 \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} 1 & 7 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}}$ .

Then T is  $\lambda$ -dominated, but S is not.

Let  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$  be *I*-multipartitions of *n*.

Let  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$  be *I*-multipartitions of *n*.

#### Definition

```
Let \varphi \in \text{Hom}_{R_n}(S_\lambda, S_\mu) & suppose \varphi(z_\lambda) = \sum_{T \in \text{Std}(\mu)} a_T v_T.
```

Let  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$  be *I*-multipartitions of *n*.

### Definition

Let  $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_{R_n}(S_\lambda, S_\mu)$  & suppose  $\varphi(z_\lambda) = \sum_{T \in \text{Std}(\mu)} a_T v_T$ . Say  $\varphi$  is *dominated* if T is  $\lambda$ -dominated whenever  $a_T \neq 0$ .

Let  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$  be *I*-multipartitions of *n*.

### Definition

Let  $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_{R_n}(S_\lambda, S_\mu)$  & suppose  $\varphi(z_\lambda) = \sum_{T \in \text{Std}(\mu)} a_T v_T$ . Say  $\varphi$  is *dominated* if T is  $\lambda$ -dominated whenever  $a_T \neq 0$ . Denote set of all such homs by  $\text{DHom}_{R_n}(S_\lambda, S_\mu)$ .

Let  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$  be *I*-multipartitions of *n*.

### Definition

Let  $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_{R_n}(S_\lambda, S_\mu)$  & suppose  $\varphi(z_\lambda) = \sum_{T \in \text{Std}(\mu)} a_T v_T$ . Say  $\varphi$  is *dominated* if T is  $\lambda$ -dominated whenever  $a_T \neq 0$ . Denote set of all such homs by  $\text{DHom}_{R_n}(S_\lambda, S_\mu)$ .

Example:

Let  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$  be *I*-multipartitions of *n*.

#### Definition

Let  $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_{R_n}(S_\lambda, S_\mu)$  & suppose  $\varphi(z_\lambda) = \sum_{T \in \text{Std}(\mu)} a_T v_T$ . Say  $\varphi$  is *dominated* if T is  $\lambda$ -dominated whenever  $a_T \neq 0$ . Denote set of all such homs by  $\text{DHom}_{R_n}(S_\lambda, S_\mu)$ .

Example: Let e = 3,  $\lambda = (4, 3)$ ,  $\mu = (4, 2, 1)$ .

Let  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$  be *I*-multipartitions of *n*.

#### Definition

Let  $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_{R_n}(S_\lambda, S_\mu)$  & suppose  $\varphi(z_\lambda) = \sum_{T \in \text{Std}(\mu)} a_T v_T$ . Say  $\varphi$  is *dominated* if T is  $\lambda$ -dominated whenever  $a_T \neq 0$ . Denote set of all such homs by  $\text{DHom}_{R_n}(S_\lambda, S_\mu)$ .

Example: Let  $e = 3, \lambda = (4, 3), \mu = (4, 2, 1)$ . There is a homomorphism  $S_{\lambda} \rightarrow S_{\mu}$  given by  $z_{\lambda} \mapsto v_{T}$  for  $T_{\lambda} = \boxed{1 \ 3 \ 5 \ 7}$ .

Let  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$  be *I*-multipartitions of *n*.

#### Definition

Let  $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_{R_n}(S_\lambda, S_\mu)$  & suppose  $\varphi(z_\lambda) = \sum_{T \in \text{Std}(\mu)} a_T v_T$ . Say  $\varphi$  is *dominated* if T is  $\lambda$ -dominated whenever  $a_T \neq 0$ . Denote set of all such homs by  $\text{DHom}_{R_n}(S_\lambda, S_\mu)$ .

Example: Let  $e = 3, \lambda = (4, 3), \mu = (4, 2, 1)$ . There is a homomorphism  $S_{\lambda} \to S_{\mu}$  given by  $z_{\lambda} \mapsto v_{T}$  for  $T = \boxed{1 \ 3 \ 5 \ 7}$ .

6

Let  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$  be *I*-multipartitions of *n*.

### Definition

Let  $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_{R_n}(S_\lambda, S_\mu)$  & suppose  $\varphi(z_\lambda) = \sum_{T \in \text{Std}(\mu)} a_T v_T$ . Say  $\varphi$  is *dominated* if T is  $\lambda$ -dominated whenever  $a_T \neq 0$ . Denote set of all such homs by  $\text{DHom}_{R_n}(S_\lambda, S_\mu)$ .

Example: Let e = 3,  $\lambda = (4, 3)$ ,  $\mu = (4, 2, 1)$ . There is a homomorphism  $S_{\lambda} \to S_{\mu}$  given by  $z_{\lambda} \mapsto v_{T}$  for  $T = \boxed{1 \ 3 \ 5 \ 7}$ .

Theorem (Fayers-S, '14)

6

Let  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$  be *I*-multipartitions of *n*.

### Definition

Let  $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_{R_n}(S_\lambda, S_\mu)$  & suppose  $\varphi(z_\lambda) = \sum_{T \in \text{Std}(\mu)} a_T v_T$ . Say  $\varphi$  is *dominated* if T is  $\lambda$ -dominated whenever  $a_T \neq 0$ . Denote set of all such homs by  $\text{DHom}_{R_n}(S_\lambda, S_\mu)$ .

Example: Let e = 3,  $\lambda = (4, 3)$ ,  $\mu = (4, 2, 1)$ . There is a homomorphism  $S_{\lambda} \to S_{\mu}$  given by  $z_{\lambda} \mapsto v_{T}$  for  $T = \boxed{1 \ 3 \ 5 \ 7}$ .

#### Theorem (Fayers–S, '14)

If  $e \neq 2$  and  $\kappa_i \neq \kappa_j$  whenever  $i \neq j$ , then DHom<sub>*R<sub>n</sub>*(S<sub> $\lambda$ </sub>, S<sub> $\mu$ </sub>) = Hom<sub>*R<sub>n</sub>*(S<sub> $\lambda$ </sub>, S<sub> $\mu$ </sub>).</sub></sub> 6

Let  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$  be *I*-multipartitions of *n*.

```
Theorem (Fayers–S, '14)

If e \neq 2 and \kappa_i \neq \kappa_j whenever i \neq j, then

\mathsf{DHom}_{B_n}(\mathsf{S}_{\lambda},\mathsf{S}_{\mu}) = \mathsf{Hom}_{B_n}(\mathsf{S}_{\lambda},\mathsf{S}_{\mu}).
```

Let  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$  be *I*-multipartitions of *n*.

Theorem (Fayers–S, '14) If  $e \neq 2$  and  $\kappa_i \neq \kappa_j$  whenever  $i \neq j$ , then  $\mathsf{DHom}_{B_n}(\mathsf{S}_{\lambda},\mathsf{S}_{\mu}) = \mathsf{Hom}_{B_n}(\mathsf{S}_{\lambda},\mathsf{S}_{\mu}).$ 

```
Corollary (Fayers–S, '14)
```

Let  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$  be *I*-multipartitions of *n*.

```
Theorem (Fayers–S, '14)

If e \neq 2 and \kappa_i \neq \kappa_j whenever i \neq j, then

\mathsf{DHom}_{B_n}(\mathsf{S}_{\lambda},\mathsf{S}_{\mu}) = \mathsf{Hom}_{B_n}(\mathsf{S}_{\lambda},\mathsf{S}_{\mu}).
```

### Corollary (Fayers-S, '14)

Let  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$  be *I*-multipartitions of *n*.

```
Theorem (Fayers–S, '14)

If e \neq 2 and \kappa_i \neq \kappa_j whenever i \neq j, then

\mathsf{DHom}_{B_n}(\mathsf{S}_{\lambda},\mathsf{S}_{\mu}) = \mathsf{Hom}_{B_n}(\mathsf{S}_{\lambda},\mathsf{S}_{\mu}).
```

### Corollary (Fayers-S, '14)

```
• If \operatorname{Hom}_{R_n}(S_{\lambda}, S_{\mu}) \neq \{0\}, then \lambda \ge \mu;
```

Let  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$  be *I*-multipartitions of *n*.

```
Theorem (Fayers–S, '14)

If e \neq 2 and \kappa_i \neq \kappa_j whenever i \neq j, then

\mathsf{DHom}_{B_n}(\mathsf{S}_{\lambda},\mathsf{S}_{\mu}) = \mathsf{Hom}_{B_n}(\mathsf{S}_{\lambda},\mathsf{S}_{\mu}).
```

### Corollary (Fayers-S, '14)

- If  $\operatorname{Hom}_{R_n}(S_{\lambda}, S_{\mu}) \neq \{0\}$ , then  $\lambda \geqslant \mu$ ;
- Hom<sub> $R_n$ </sub>(S<sub> $\lambda$ </sub>, S<sub> $\lambda$ </sub>) is one dimensional;

Let  $\lambda, \mu$  be *I*-multipartitions of *n*.

```
Theorem (Fayers–S, '14)

If e \neq 2 and \kappa_i \neq \kappa_j whenever i \neq j, then

\mathsf{DHom}_{B_n}(\mathsf{S}_{\lambda},\mathsf{S}_{\mu}) = \mathsf{Hom}_{B_n}(\mathsf{S}_{\lambda},\mathsf{S}_{\mu}).
```

### Corollary (Fayers-S, '14)

- If  $\text{Hom}_{R_n}(S_{\lambda}, S_{\mu}) \neq \{0\}$ , then  $\lambda \triangleright \mu$ ;
- Hom<sub> $R_n$ </sub>(S<sub> $\lambda$ </sub>, S<sub> $\lambda$ </sub>) is one dimensional;
- $S_{\lambda}$  is indecomposable.

### Definition

For any *I*-multipartition  $\lambda$  of *n* and any  $1 \le m \le l$ ,  $c \ge 0$ , define  $\lambda_L(c, m)$  and  $\lambda_R(c, m)$  to be the multipartitions on the left and right of a vertical cut after column *c* of component *m* of  $[\lambda]$ .

### Definition

For any *I*-multipartition  $\lambda$  of *n* and any  $1 \le m \le l$ ,  $c \ge 0$ , define  $\lambda_L(c, m)$  and  $\lambda_R(c, m)$  to be the multipartitions on the left and right of a vertical cut after column *c* of component *m* of  $[\lambda]$ .

Example:

### Definition

For any *I*-multipartition  $\lambda$  of *n* and any  $1 \le m \le l$ ,  $c \ge 0$ , define  $\lambda_L(c, m)$  and  $\lambda_R(c, m)$  to be the multipartitions on the left and right of a vertical cut after column *c* of component *m* of  $[\lambda]$ .

Example:  $\lambda = ((3, 2), (2), (1, 1)), m = 2, c = 1.$ 

### Definition

For any *I*-multipartition  $\lambda$  of *n* and any  $1 \le m \le l$ ,  $c \ge 0$ , define  $\lambda_L(c, m)$  and  $\lambda_R(c, m)$  to be the multipartitions on the left and right of a vertical cut after column *c* of component *m* of  $[\lambda]$ .

Example:  $\lambda = ((3,2), (2), (1,1)), m = 2, c = 1.$ 



### Definition

For any *I*-multipartition  $\lambda$  of *n* and any  $1 \le m \le l$ ,  $c \ge 0$ , define  $\lambda_L(c, m)$  and  $\lambda_R(c, m)$  to be the multipartitions on the left and right of a vertical cut after column *c* of component *m* of  $[\lambda]$ .

Example:  $\lambda = ((3,2), (2), (1,1)), m = 2, c = 1.$ 


### Definition

For any *I*-multipartition  $\lambda$  of *n* and any  $1 \le m \le l$ ,  $c \ge 0$ , define  $\lambda_L(c, m)$  and  $\lambda_R(c, m)$  to be the multipartitions on the left and right of a vertical cut after column *c* of component *m* of  $[\lambda]$ .

Example:  $\lambda = ((3,2), (2), (1,1)), m = 2, c = 1.$ 



### Definition

For any *I*-multipartition  $\lambda$  of *n* and any  $1 \le m \le l$ ,  $c \ge 0$ , define  $\lambda_L(c, m)$  and  $\lambda_R(c, m)$  to be the multipartitions on the left and right of a vertical cut after column *c* of component *m* of  $[\lambda]$ .

Example:  $\lambda = ((3, 2), (2), (1, 1)), m = 2, c = 1.$ 



Let  $\lambda, \mu$  be *I*-multipartitions of *n*, and suppose for some  $1 \le m \le I$  and  $c \ge 0$  we have  $|\lambda_L(c, m)| = |\mu_L(c, m)|$ . Then:

Let  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$  be *I*-multipartitions of *n*, and suppose for some  $1 \le m \le I$  and  $c \ge 0$  we have  $|\lambda_L(c, m)| = |\mu_L(c, m)|$ . Then:

### Theorem (Fayers–S, '14)

 $\mathsf{DHom}_{R_n}(\mathsf{S}_{\lambda},\mathsf{S}_{\mu}) \cong \mathsf{DHom}_{R_L}(\mathsf{S}_{\lambda_L},\mathsf{S}_{\mu_L}) \otimes \mathsf{DHom}_{R_R}(\mathsf{S}_{\lambda_R},\mathsf{S}_{\mu_R})$ , where  $R_n, R_L$  and  $R_R$  are the affine KLR algebras of the appropriate degrees.

Let  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$  be *I*-multipartitions of *n*, and suppose for some  $1 \le m \le I$  and  $c \ge 0$  we have  $|\lambda_L(c, m)| = |\mu_L(c, m)|$ . Then:

### Theorem (Fayers–S, '14)

 $\mathsf{DHom}_{R_n}(\mathsf{S}_{\lambda},\mathsf{S}_{\mu}) \cong \mathsf{DHom}_{R_L}(\mathsf{S}_{\lambda_L},\mathsf{S}_{\mu_L}) \otimes \mathsf{DHom}_{R_R}(\mathsf{S}_{\lambda_R},\mathsf{S}_{\mu_R})$ , where  $R_n, R_L$  and  $R_R$  are the affine KLR algebras of the appropriate degrees.

Note that the result factors through to the cyclotomic quotient.

Let  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$  be *I*-multipartitions of *n*, and suppose for some  $1 \le m \le I$  and  $c \ge 0$  we have  $|\lambda_L(c, m)| = |\mu_L(c, m)|$ . Then:

### Theorem (Fayers–S, '14)

 $\mathsf{DHom}_{R_n}(\mathsf{S}_{\lambda},\mathsf{S}_{\mu}) \cong \mathsf{DHom}_{R_L}(\mathsf{S}_{\lambda_L},\mathsf{S}_{\mu_L}) \otimes \mathsf{DHom}_{R_R}(\mathsf{S}_{\lambda_R},\mathsf{S}_{\mu_R})$ , where  $R_n, R_L$  and  $R_R$  are the affine KLR algebras of the appropriate degrees.

Note that the result factors through to the cyclotomic quotient. Example:

Let  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$  be *I*-multipartitions of *n*, and suppose for some  $1 \le m \le I$  and  $c \ge 0$  we have  $|\lambda_L(c, m)| = |\mu_L(c, m)|$ . Then:

#### Theorem (Fayers–S, '14)

 $\mathsf{DHom}_{R_n}(\mathsf{S}_{\lambda},\mathsf{S}_{\mu}) \cong \mathsf{DHom}_{R_L}(\mathsf{S}_{\lambda_L},\mathsf{S}_{\mu_L}) \otimes \mathsf{DHom}_{R_R}(\mathsf{S}_{\lambda_R},\mathsf{S}_{\mu_R})$ , where  $R_n, R_L$  and  $R_R$  are the affine KLR algebras of the appropriate degrees.

Let  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$  be *I*-multipartitions of *n*, and suppose for some  $1 \le m \le I$  and  $c \ge 0$  we have  $|\lambda_L(c, m)| = |\mu_L(c, m)|$ . Then:

#### Theorem (Fayers–S, '14)

 $\mathsf{DHom}_{R_n}(\mathsf{S}_{\lambda},\mathsf{S}_{\mu}) \cong \mathsf{DHom}_{R_L}(\mathsf{S}_{\lambda_L},\mathsf{S}_{\mu_L}) \otimes \mathsf{DHom}_{R_R}(\mathsf{S}_{\lambda_R},\mathsf{S}_{\mu_R})$ , where  $R_n, R_L$  and  $R_R$  are the affine KLR algebras of the appropriate degrees.



Let  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$  be *I*-multipartitions of *n*, and suppose for some  $1 \le m \le I$  and  $c \ge 0$  we have  $|\lambda_L(c, m)| = |\mu_L(c, m)|$ . Then:

#### Theorem (Fayers–S, '14)

 $\mathsf{DHom}_{R_n}(\mathsf{S}_{\lambda},\mathsf{S}_{\mu}) \cong \mathsf{DHom}_{R_L}(\mathsf{S}_{\lambda_L},\mathsf{S}_{\mu_L}) \otimes \mathsf{DHom}_{R_R}(\mathsf{S}_{\lambda_R},\mathsf{S}_{\mu_R})$ , where  $R_n, R_L$  and  $R_R$  are the affine KLR algebras of the appropriate degrees.



Let  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$  be *I*-multipartitions of *n*, and suppose for some  $1 \le m \le I$  and  $c \ge 0$  we have  $|\lambda_L(c, m)| = |\mu_L(c, m)|$ . Then:

#### Theorem (Fayers–S, '14)

 $\mathsf{DHom}_{R_n}(\mathsf{S}_{\lambda},\mathsf{S}_{\mu}) \cong \mathsf{DHom}_{R_L}(\mathsf{S}_{\lambda_L},\mathsf{S}_{\mu_L}) \otimes \mathsf{DHom}_{R_R}(\mathsf{S}_{\lambda_R},\mathsf{S}_{\mu_R})$ , where  $R_n, R_L$  and  $R_R$  are the affine KLR algebras of the appropriate degrees.



Let  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$  be *I*-multipartitions of *n*, and suppose for some  $1 \le m \le I$  and  $c \ge 0$  we have  $|\lambda_L(c, m)| = |\mu_L(c, m)|$ . Then:

#### Theorem (Fayers–S, '14)

 $\mathsf{DHom}_{R_n}(\mathsf{S}_{\lambda},\mathsf{S}_{\mu}) \cong \mathsf{DHom}_{R_L}(\mathsf{S}_{\lambda_L},\mathsf{S}_{\mu_L}) \otimes \mathsf{DHom}_{R_R}(\mathsf{S}_{\lambda_R},\mathsf{S}_{\mu_R})$ , where  $R_n, R_L$  and  $R_R$  are the affine KLR algebras of the appropriate degrees.



Let  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$  be *I*-multipartitions of *n*, and suppose for some  $1 \le m \le I$  and  $c \ge 0$  we have  $|\lambda_L(c, m)| = |\mu_L(c, m)|$ . Then:

#### Theorem (Fayers–S, '14)

 $\mathsf{DHom}_{R_n}(\mathsf{S}_{\lambda},\mathsf{S}_{\mu}) \cong \mathsf{DHom}_{R_L}(\mathsf{S}_{\lambda_L},\mathsf{S}_{\mu_L}) \otimes \mathsf{DHom}_{R_R}(\mathsf{S}_{\lambda_R},\mathsf{S}_{\mu_R})$ , where  $R_n, R_L$  and  $R_R$  are the affine KLR algebras of the appropriate degrees.



Let  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$  be *I*-multipartitions of *n*, and suppose for some  $1 \le m \le I$  and  $c \ge 0$  we have  $|\lambda_L(c, m)| = |\mu_L(c, m)|$ . Then:

#### Theorem (Fayers–S, '14)

 $\mathsf{DHom}_{R_n}(\mathsf{S}_{\lambda},\mathsf{S}_{\mu}) \cong \mathsf{DHom}_{R_L}(\mathsf{S}_{\lambda_L},\mathsf{S}_{\mu_L}) \otimes \mathsf{DHom}_{R_R}(\mathsf{S}_{\lambda_R},\mathsf{S}_{\mu_R})$ , where  $R_n, R_L$  and  $R_R$  are the affine KLR algebras of the appropriate degrees.



# Graded row removal

Liron Speyer (QMUL)

### • A similar result holds for row removal (horizontal cuts);

- A similar result holds for row removal (horizontal cuts);
- In the case of row removal, an explicit construction is more elusive.

- A similar result holds for row removal (horizontal cuts);
- In the case of row removal, an explicit construction is more elusive.

### Conjecture

If e = 2,  $\kappa_i \neq \kappa_j$  whenever  $i \neq j$ , and  $\lambda$  is *conjugate-Kleshchev*, then  $\mathsf{DHom}_{R_n}(\mathsf{S}_{\lambda},\mathsf{S}_{\mu}) = \mathsf{Hom}_{R_n}(\mathsf{S}_{\lambda},\mathsf{S}_{\mu})$ .