

Calculation of Gauge Thresholds in Heterotic Compactifications

Mirian Tsulaia

Okinawa Institute of Science and Technology

The University of Liverpool
June 16, 2020

- Motivation
- Torus amplitude, Partition Functions
- Calculation of Gauge Thresholds
- NonSUSY heterotic $SO(16) \otimes SO(16)$ superstring
- Conclusions

Based on

- V. S. Kaplunovsky, NPB **307**, 1988, 145
- E. Kiritsis, C. Kounnas, M. Petropoulos, J. Rizos, NPB **483**, 1997, 141
- C. Angelantonj, I. Florakis, M. T., PLB **736**, 2014, 365; NPB **900**, 2015, 170

- Quantum Theory of Gravity, Unification of Fundamental Interactions.
- Particle Physics: MSSM still has unanswered questions like origin of values of coupling constants, of masses etc.
- We have bosonic string (open or closed). Lives in $D = 26$ space-time dimensions. Contains a tachyon. Has no fermions.
- Superstrings: Perturbatively five of them. They live in $D = 10$. They are connected by various types of dualities. Vacua include nonperturbative objects.
- These theories are supersymmetric. SUSY removes tachyon and has many other nice features.
- But SUSY (if exists) is spontaneously broken. How? A stringy mechanism is extremely hard to implement.

- In non SUSY vacua one generically has a nonzero dilaton tadpole (one loop).
- To cure this problem one has to take a back reaction on the metric into account at two-loop level: W. Fischler, L.Susskind (1984). Hard to implement in practice.
- Alternatively one can try to work around a “wrong” flat vacuum: E.Dudas, M.Nicolosi, G.Pradisi, A.Sagnotti (2004). Also hard. No conventional perturbation theory in this case.
- We consider NonSUSY heterotic $SO(16) \otimes SO(16)$ string. Nontachyonic vacuum (stable). No Wilson lines.
- Gauge threshold corrections. General approach: V.Kaplunovsky (1988). Valid either for SUSY/Non SUSY without tachyons.

- In field theory one loop partition function - spectrum. Example of a massive scalar field

$$S = \int d^D x (\partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi - \frac{1}{2} m^2 \phi^2)$$

- Vacuum energy

$$e^{-\Gamma} = \int D\phi e^{-S_E}, \quad \Gamma \sim V \int_\epsilon^\infty \frac{dt}{t^{D/2+1}} e^{-tm^2}$$

where V is a volume of space-time, t is a Schwinger parameter and ϵ is an ultraviolet cut off.

- When we have many particles then

$$e^{-tm^2} \rightarrow \text{Str} \left(e^{-tm^2} \right)$$

- Closed bosonic string $D = 26$, Torus amplitude.

- Line element

$$ds^2 = \frac{1}{\tau_2} |d\sigma_1 + \tau d\sigma_2|^2, \quad 0 \leq \sigma_{1,2} \leq 1$$

$$\omega = \sigma_1 + \tau \sigma_2, \quad ds^2 = \frac{d\omega d\bar{\omega}}{\tau_2}$$

- τ - complex structure - parametrizes inequivalent Tori.
- Coordinates on a Torus are periodically identified

$$\omega \sim \omega + m, \quad \omega \sim \omega + n\tau$$

m, n are integer

- Modular group

$$T : \tau \rightarrow \tau + 1$$

$$S : \tau \rightarrow -\frac{1}{\tau}$$

- S and T form a modular group $SL(2, Z)$ with

$$S^2 = (ST)^3 = 1$$

- Put a point on a string on a horizontal axis. It propagates upwards in time for $\omega_0 = 2\pi\tau_2$. It shifts in the space by $\omega_1 = 2\pi\tau_1$, where $\tau = \tau_1 + i\tau_2$
- Time translations in CFT are generated by $H = L_0 + \tilde{L}_0 - 2$, space translations are generated by $P = L_0 + \tilde{L}_0$

- We have a path integral

$$Z = \text{Tr}[e^{-2\pi\tau_2 H} e^{2\pi i\tau_1 P}] = \text{Tr}[q^{L_0-1} \bar{q}^{\tilde{L}_0-1}], \quad q = e^{2\pi i\tau}$$

- Integrating over modular parameter and using $L_0 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha_{-m} \alpha_m$

$$Z = \int_{\mathcal{F}} d^2\tau \frac{1}{q\bar{q}} \int d^{24}p e^{-\pi\tau_2 p^2/2} \text{Tr}[q^N \bar{q}^{\tilde{N}}],$$

- Performing Gaussian integral over the p^2 and using

$$\text{Tr} [q^N] = \text{Tr} [q^{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{-n} \alpha_n}] = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - q^n}$$

we finally get

$$Z = \int_{\mathcal{F}} \frac{d^2\tau}{\tau_2^2} \frac{1}{\tau_2^{12} (\eta(\tau) \overline{\eta(\tau)})^{24}}$$

where $\eta(\tau)$ is a Dedekind function

$$\eta(\tau) = q^{1/24} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)$$

- Under modular transformations

$$\eta(\tau + 1) = e^{i\pi/12} \eta(\tau), \quad \eta\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}\right) = \sqrt{-i\tau} \eta(\tau)$$

- The fundamental domain $\mathcal{F} : |\tau| \geq 1$ and $-\frac{1}{2} \leq \tau_1 \leq \frac{1}{2}$

- Let us introduce orthogonal decompositions. In NS sector

$$O_{2n} = \frac{\theta_3^n + \theta_4^n}{2\eta^n}, \quad V_{2n} = \frac{\theta_3^n - \theta_4^n}{2\eta^n}$$

- In R sector

$$S_{2n} = \frac{\theta_2^n + i^{-n}\theta_1^n}{2\eta^n}, \quad C_{2n} = \frac{\theta_2^n - i^{-n}\theta_1^n}{2\eta^n}$$

- They have expansions

$$O_{2n} = q^{h_o - n/24}(1 + n(2n - 1)q + \dots), \quad V_{2n} = q^{h_v - n/24}(2n + \dots)$$

$$S_{2n} = q^{h_s - n/24}(2^{n-1} + \dots), \quad C_{2n} = q^{h_c - n/24}(2^{n-1} + \dots)$$

with $(h_o, h_v, h_s, h_c) = (0, 1/2, n/8, n/8)$

- Recall for the Dedekind $\eta(\tau)$ function

$$\frac{1}{\eta^n} = q^{-n/24}(1 + nq + \dots)$$

- Under T- duality transformations

$$(O_{2n}, V_{2n}, S_{2n}, C_{2n}) = e^{-\frac{in\pi}{12}} \text{diag}(1, -1, e^{\frac{in\pi}{4}}, e^{\frac{in\pi}{4}}) (O_{2n} V_{2n} S_{2n} C_{2n})$$

- Under S- duality transformations

$$\begin{pmatrix} O_{2n} \\ V_{2n} \\ S_{2n} \\ C_{2n} \end{pmatrix} = e^{-\frac{in\pi}{12}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & i^{-n} & -i^{-n} \\ 1 & -1 & -i^{-n} & i^{-n} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} O_{2n} \\ V_{2n} \\ S_{2n} \\ C_{2n} \end{pmatrix}$$

- Recall also that for the Dedekind $\eta(\tau)$ function

$$T : \eta(\tau + 1) = e^{\frac{i\pi}{12}} \eta(\tau)$$

$$S : \eta\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}\right) = \sqrt{-i\tau} \eta(\tau)$$

- When compactifying on a circle with radius R we get a lattice partition function

$$\Gamma_{m,n} = \frac{1}{\eta\bar{\eta}} \sum_{m,n} q^{\alpha' p_L^2/4} \bar{q}^{\alpha' p_L^2/4}, \quad p_{L,R} = \frac{m}{R} \pm \frac{nR}{\alpha'}$$

- Generically: We have a theory \mathcal{M} and its low energy counterpart \mathcal{N} .
- One loop correction to the gauge coupling constant can be obtained from

$$\mathcal{L} = \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{2t} C_\Lambda(t) \text{Str} e^{-tL}$$

$C_\lambda(t)$ is an ultraviolet regulator, t is a proper time

- The background solves the classical equations of motion
- To obtain the one -loop correction to the coupling constant we expand the integral up to the second order in $A_\mu(x)$ and put $F_{\mu\nu}(x) = \text{const}$. We get

$$W = \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{t} C_\Lambda(t) \mathcal{B}(t), \quad \mathcal{B}(t) = \text{str}(Q^2 \left(\frac{1}{12} - \chi^2 \right) e^{-tM^2})$$

Q - a generator of gauge group, χ - helicity operator

- We use torus partition function
- Compute a correlator on a torus

$$\int_{\mathcal{F}} \frac{d^2\tau}{\tau_2^2} \int d^2z \epsilon_1^\mu \epsilon_2^\mu \langle V_\mu(z) V_\nu(0) \rangle.$$

- $V_\mu(z)$ vertex operator for a gauge boson

$$V^{\mu,A} = (\partial_z X^\mu + i(p \cdot \psi)\psi^\mu) \bar{J}^A e^{ip \cdot X}.$$

- Use torus propagators for noncompact bosons, fermions, and Kac-Moody currents

$$\langle X(z)X(0) \rangle = -\log |(\theta_1(z))|^2 + 2\pi \frac{\text{Im}z^2}{\tau_2}, \quad \langle \psi(z)\psi(0) \rangle|_b^a = S(z)|_b^a$$

$$\langle J^A(\bar{z})J^B(0) \rangle = \delta^{AB} \left(\frac{k}{4\pi^2} \bar{\partial}^2 \log \bar{\theta}_1(\bar{z}) + \text{tr} Q^2 \right)$$

- Pick up the quadratic part in momenta of what we get.
- The result: for $4d$ partition function

$$Z = \frac{1}{\tau_2 \eta^2 \bar{\eta}^2} \sum_{a,b=0}^1 \frac{\theta_{[a]}^{[b]}}{2\eta} C^{int}_{[b]},$$

we get threshold corrections

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{G}} = \int_{\mathcal{F}} \frac{d^2\tau}{\tau_2} (\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{G}}(\tau) - b_{\mathcal{G}})$$

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{G}} = \int_{\mathcal{F}} \frac{d^2\tau}{\tau_2} \left(\frac{1}{\eta^2 \bar{\eta}^2} \sum_{\text{even}} \frac{i}{\pi} \partial_{\tau} \left(\frac{\theta_{[a]}^{[b]}}{2\eta} \right) \text{Tr}_{int} \left(Q_{\mathcal{G}}^2 - \frac{k_{\mathcal{G}}}{4\pi\tau_2} \right) C^{int}_{[b]} - b_{\mathcal{G}} \right)$$

where $b_{\mathcal{G}} = \lim_{\tau_2 \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{B}(\tau)$

- Need of infrared regularization

- Partition function for $E_8 \otimes E_8$ heterotic string

$$Z_{E_8 \times E_8} = \frac{(V_8 - S_8)(\bar{O}_{16} + \bar{S}_{16})(\bar{O}_{16} + \bar{S}_{16})}{(\sqrt{\tau_2} \eta \bar{\eta})^8}.$$

- Perform SUSY breaking orbifold Z_2

$$S_8 \rightarrow -S_8, \quad \bar{S}_{16}^{1,2} \rightarrow -\bar{S}_{16}^{1,2}, \quad \bar{C}_{16}^{1,2} \rightarrow -\bar{C}_{16}^{1,2}.$$

- Leads to the partition function for $SO(16) \otimes SO(16)$ tachyon free heterotic string

$$Z = \frac{V_8(\bar{O}_{16}\bar{O}_{16} + \bar{S}_{16}\bar{S}_{16}) + O_8(\bar{V}_{16}\bar{C}_{16} + \bar{C}_{16}\bar{V}_{16})}{(\sqrt{\tau_2} \eta \bar{\eta})^8} - \frac{S_8(\bar{O}_{16}\bar{S}_{16} + \bar{S}_{16}\bar{O}_{16}) + C_8(\bar{V}_{16}\bar{V}_{16} + \bar{C}_{16}\bar{C}_{16})}{(\sqrt{\tau_2} \eta \bar{\eta})^8}.$$

Massless spectrum

- Untwisted bosonic $g_{\mu\nu}, B_{\mu\nu}, \phi$ and $A_\mu((120, 1) \oplus (1, 120))$.
- Untwisted fermionic $\psi^\alpha((128, 1) \oplus (1, 128))$.
- Twisted fermionic $\xi_\alpha(16, 16)$.
- The partition function (again)

$$Z = \frac{V_8(\bar{O}_{16}\bar{O}_{16} + \bar{S}_{16}\bar{S}_{16}) + O_8(\bar{V}_{16}\bar{C}_{16} + \bar{C}_{16}\bar{V}_{16})}{(\sqrt{\tau_2}\eta\bar{\eta})^8} - \frac{S_8(\bar{O}_{16}\bar{S}_{16} + \bar{S}_{16}\bar{O}_{16}) + C_8(\bar{V}_{16}\bar{V}_{16} + \bar{C}_{16}\bar{C}_{16})}{(\sqrt{\tau_2}\eta\bar{\eta})^8}.$$

- Start with heterotic $E_8 \otimes E_8$ and compactify it on an orbifold

$$T^6/\mathbb{Z}_N \times \mathbb{Z}'_2, \quad N = 2, 3, 4, 6.$$

- A discrete \mathbb{Z}_N acts on T^4 as (breaks $\mathcal{N} = 4$ to $\mathcal{N} = 2$)

$$\mathbb{Z}_N : z_1 \rightarrow e^{2\pi/N} z_1, \quad z_2 \rightarrow e^{-2\pi/N} z_2.$$

- Extra \mathbb{Z}'_2 is freely acting (breaks $\mathcal{N} = 2$ to $\mathcal{N} = 0$)

$$\mathbb{Z}_2 : (-1)^{F_{st}+F_1+F_2} \delta.$$

where F_{st} is a space-time fermion number, $F_{1,2}$ gauge group “fermion numbers”, and δ is an order two shift along T^2 .

- We have Scherk-Schwarz spontaneous SUSY breaking on $K3 \times T^2$.

- In terms of characters (example $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}'_2$)

$$V_8 - S_8 = V_4 O_4 + O_4 V_4 - S_4 S_4 - C_4 C_4,$$

$$\overline{O}_{16} + \overline{S}_{16} = \overline{O}_{12} \overline{O}_4 + \overline{V}_{12} \overline{V}_4 + \overline{S}_{12} \overline{S}_4 + \overline{C}_{12} \overline{C}_4,$$

- Under the first \mathbb{Z}_2

$$V_4^{(2)} \rightarrow -V_4^{(2)}, \quad S_4^{(2)} \rightarrow -S_4^{(2)}, \quad \overline{V}_4^{(2)} \rightarrow -\overline{V}_4^{(2)}, \quad \overline{S}_4^{(2)} \rightarrow -\overline{S}_4^{(2)}.$$

- Under the second $\mathbb{Z}'_2 : \Gamma_{mn} \rightarrow (-1)^m \Gamma_{mn}$, and

$$(S_4^{(1)}, C_4^{(1)}) \rightarrow -(S_4^{(1)}, C_4^{(1)}), \quad (\overline{S}_{16/12}^{(2)}, \overline{C}_{16/12}^{(2)}) \rightarrow -(\overline{S}_{16/12}^{(2)}, \overline{C}_{16/12}^{(2)}).$$

- The gauge group is $SO(16) \otimes SO(12) \otimes SO(4)$.

- The threshold corrections have a form

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{G}} = \Delta_{\mathcal{G}}^{(u+)} + \Delta_{\mathcal{G}}^{(u-)} + \Delta_{\mathcal{G}}^{(t+)} + \Delta_{\mathcal{G}}^{(t-)},$$

- where

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{G}}^{(t+)} = S\Delta_{\mathcal{G}}^{(u-)}, \quad \Delta_{\mathcal{G}}^{(t-)} = T\Delta_{\mathcal{G}}^{(t+)}$$

- It is easier to compute the differences

$$\Delta_{SO(16)}^{u+} - \Delta_{SO(12)}^{u+} = -36\Gamma_{mn} : \quad \textit{Universal contribution}$$

L. Dixon, V.Kaplunovsky, J. Louis (1991); E.Kiritsis, C.Kounnas, M.Petropoulos, J.Rizos (1996).

- Torus moduli $T = T_1 + iT_2$ and $U = U_1 + iU_2$

$$\int_{\mathcal{F}} \frac{d\tau}{\tau_2^2} \Gamma_{m,n}(T, U) = -\log(T_2 U_2 |\eta(T)\eta(U)|^4).$$

- Non BPS contributions

$$\int_{\mathcal{F}_0(2)} \frac{d\tau}{\tau_2^2} (-1)^m \Gamma_{m,n}(T, U) (\text{hol.}) \times (\text{anti} - \text{hol.}).$$

- Written in terms of $SO(2n)$ characters

$$12(O_8^2 V_8 + 3V_8^3)(\overline{O}_8^2 \overline{V}_8 - \overline{V}_8^3).$$

- Holomorphic because of identities: I.Florakis, C.Kounnas (2009)

$$\overline{O}_8^2 \overline{V}_8 - \overline{V}_8^3 = 8.$$

- The one can take the integral using the technique of C.Angelantonj, I.Florakis, B.Pioline (2012,2013).

- One gets for differences

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{SO(16)} - \Delta_{SO(12)} &= \\ &= 36 \log (T_2 U_2 |\eta(T)\eta(U)|^4) - \frac{4}{3} \log (T_2 U_2 |\theta_4(T)\theta_2(U)|^4) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{3} \log |\hat{j}_2(T/2) - \hat{j}_2(U)|^4 |j_2(U) - 24|, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$j_2(U) - 24 = \frac{\eta^{24}(\tau)}{\eta^{24}(2\tau)}, \quad \hat{j}_2(\tau) - 24 = \frac{\theta_2^{12}}{\eta^{12}}.$$

- This form is the same for orbifolds with $N = 2, 3, 4, 6$ up to numerical constants.

- Remarkable Universality
- Symmetries of nonsupersymmetric compactifications
- Gravitational Thresholds:
I. Florakis NPB **916**, 2017, 484
- Possible semi realistic models realistic models. Recent work:
M. Blaszczyk, S. Groot-Nibbelink, O. Loukas, S. Ramos-Sanchez, JHEP **10**, 2014, 119
S. Abel, K. R. Dienes, E. Mavroudi, PRD **91**, 2015, 126014
A. E. Faraggi, C. Kounnas, H. Partouche, NPB **899**, 2015, 328
I. Florakis, J. Rizos, NPb **913**, 2016, 495
C. Angelantonj, I. Florakis, PLB **789**, 2019, 496
- More detailed study.